



I don't understand why:

(1) UPS said they could not delivery my item because an authorized recipient was not available at the Court on Tuesday at 9:28 am, on September 25, 2012. (2) UPS would not try the traditional three times but after the first try make me responsible for redelivery.

**Quick Tools** 

rack & Confirm

## uentered: El480187651US

Ir Item's Status We attempted to deliver your item at 9:28 am September 25, 2012 in FAIRFAX, VA 22030 and a notice was because an authorized recipient was not available. You may

ange redelivery by visiting <a

="https://www.usps.com/redelivery/welcome.htm">www.usps.com/redelivery</a>

alling 800-ASK-USPS, or may pick up the item at the Post

ce indicated on the notice. If this item is unclaimed after five

is then it will be returned to the sender. Information, if

ilable, is updated periodically throughout the day. Please ck again later.

## d Another Item

## sat's your label (or receipt) number?

48 (1) The B&K law firm that prepared the summons described my response to the Summons in their Motion for Temporary Injunction dated September 28, 2012, as: Ser "Upon information and belief, Defendant Anthony M. O'Connell has filed a response to the Complaint, which consisted of a one-page letter directed to the Clerk of Court, and the filing of numerous documents and records containing his annotations." ing. -(2) Chief Judge Dennis J. Smith (?) described my response to the Summons in his Order dared January 25, :8110 2013. as: al h "The material facts set forth in the Complaint filed by Plaintiff in this action are deemed to be admitted by Defendant Anthony M. O'Connell pursuant to Va. Sup. Ct. Rule 1:4(e);- based on the failure of Defendant 34. Anthony M. O'Connell to deny such facts in the responsive pleading filed by him, entitled "Response to date Summons Served on September 8, 3R.C 2012." 2 /4 "Because there aft no material facts in dispute in this action and the facts alleged ..... in the Complaint support the relief requested therein, summary judgment pursuant to Va. Sup. Ct. Rule. 3:20 on all counts IUleged in Plaintiffs Complaint is appropriate. https://www.usps.com/



## Get a Free Shi

.earn about Priority Mail<sup>®</sup> Flat Rate Shipping



	Delivered 9/25/12
UNITED STATES	
POSTAL SERVICE.	
Date: 09/25/2012	
ANTHONY OCONNELL:	

The following is in response to your 09/25/2012 request for delivery information on your Express Mail(R) item number EI48 0187 651U S. The delivery record shows that this item was delivered on 09/25/2012 at 10:44 AM in FAIRFAX, VA 22030 to S WALKER. The scanned image of the recipient information is provided below.

Signature of Recipient:	inted ime	Stephanie Walker Stephanie Walker	
Address of Recipient:	livery dress	4110 Lam D	m

Thank you for selecting the Postal Service for your mailing needs. If you require additional assistance, please contact your local Post Office or postal representative.

Sincerely,

United States Postal Service

After I read that UPS could not delivery my item because an authorized recipient was not available at the Court on Tuesday at 9:28 am on September 25, 2012, I telephoned UPS in Fairfax and then the UPS web site(?) showed that my item was delivered at 10:44 am.